1	DEBRA W. IANG	ı
	United States Attorney	
2	STEVEN D. CLYMER Special Assistant United States Attorney	
3	chief Criminal Division	
- 11	DAVID D VAIGHN (CA Bar #132003)	
4	Assistant United States Attorney	İ
	JERROB DUFFY (NY Bar)	
5	Trial Attorney	
6	Civil Rights Division United States Department of Justice United States Department Countbourse	
0	1300 United States Coulchouse	
7	212 North Spring Street	
	Los Angeles, California 90012	
8	Telephone: (213) 894-2579 Facsimile: (213) 894-6436	
9	Facsimile: (213) 691 616	
7	Attorney for Plaintiff	
10	United States of America	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	DISTRICT OF CALLFORNIA	
	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	\	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) CR NO	١
17	Plaintiff, ) <u>PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT</u>	١
15	) JOHN HAIRE	
1.	v. (	
16		
17	JOHN HAIRE,	
	Defendant.	
18	)	
19		١
20	1. This constitutes the plea agreement between JOHN HAIRE	
21	H	
21	("defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office for the	ļ
22	Central District of California and the Department of Justice,	
22		
23	Civil Rights Division (hereinafter collectively referred to as	
24		
		_
25	the February 15, 2003, vehicle pursuit, shooting, and arrest, and	1
	010 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	

subsequent related detention and prosecution of Jeffrey Petri by

the Federal Protective Service in San Francisco, California.

26

27

This agreement is limited to the USAO and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative or regulatory authorities.

#### PLEA

2. Defendant gives up any right he may have to indictment by a grand jury and agrees to plead guilty to a one-count information in the form attached to this agreement or a substantially similar form.

#### NATURE OF THE OFFENSE

which charges a violation of Title 18, United States

Code, Section 242, the following must be true: (a) the defendant acted under color of law, that is, while using or misusing power possessed by reason of the law, (b) the defendant's conduct deprived the victim of a right secured or protected by the Constitution of the United States, (c) the defendant acted willfully, that is, with the intent to deprive the victim of a protected Constitutional right, and (d) the defendants act or acts resulted in deprivation of the victim's liberty. Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of this offense as described in count one of the information.

### PENALTIES AND RESTITUTION

4. The statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 242 is: one year imprisonment; a one-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$100,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss

17 |

20 II

resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$50.

12 |

13 |

- 5. Supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release, which could result in defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater that the statutory maximum stated above.
- 6. Defendant also understands that, by pleading guilty, defendant may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury.
- 7. Defendant further understands that the conviction in this case may subject defendant to various collateral consequences, including but not limited to, deportation, revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case, and suspension or revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty plea.
- 8. Defendant understands that defendant will be required to pay full restitution to the victim(s) of the offense. Defendant agrees that, in return for the USAO's compliance with its obligations under this agreement, the amount of restitution is

not restricted to the amounts alleged in the count to which defendant is pleading guilty and may include losses arising from charges not prosecuted pursuant to this agreement as well as all relevant conduct in connection with those charges.

### WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 9. By pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:
  - a) The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.
  - b) The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.
- c) The right to the assistance of legal counsel at trial, including the right to have the Court appoint counsel for defendant for the purpose of representation at trial. (In this regard, defendant understands that, despite his plea of guilty, he retains the right to be represented by counsel and, if necessary, to have the court appoint counsel if defendant cannot afford counsel at every other stage of the proceedings.)
- d) The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- e) The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.
- f) The right, if defendant wished, to testify on defendant's own behalf and present evidence in opposition to the charges, including the right to call witnesses and to subpoena those witnesses to testify.
  - g) The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if

1 |

defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.

By pleading guilty, defendant also gives up any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

#### SENTENCING FACTORS

- higher court that constitutional considerations render them inapplicable, the Court is required to consider and apply the United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") but may depart from those guidelines under some circumstances. Defendant understands that, should a higher court conclude that constitutional considerations render the Sentencing Guidelines inapplicable, the Court may be free to exercise its discretion to impose any sentence up to the maximum set by statute for the crimes of conviction.
- 11. Defendant and the USAO agree and stipulate to the following applicable sentencing guideline factors:

Base Offense Level : 12 [U.S.S.G. § 2H1.1(a)(2)]

Specific Offense Characteristics

(Color of Law) : 6 [U.S.S.G. § 2H1.1(b)(1)]

Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue that additional specific offense characteristics, adjustments and departures are appropriate.

12. There is no agreement as to defendant's criminal

history or criminal history category.

. 8

13 |

14 ||

17 |

the United States Probation Office or the Court. Both defendant and the USAO are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the calculation of the sentence, and (c) argue on appeal and collateral review that the Court's sentencing calculations are not error, although each party agrees to maintain its view that the calculations in paragraph 11 are consistent with the facts of this case.

É.

stipulated to in paragraph 11, to the extent defendant has a right to have the facts used to determine the applicability of those factors charged in the indictment by a grand jury and found by a jury at trial beyond a reasonable doubt (see Blakely v. Washington, 124 S. Ct. 2531 (June 24, 2004); United States v. Ameline, No. 02-30326 (9th Cir. July 21, 2004)), defendant waives those rights and agrees that the Court may, regardless of the method by which sentence is imposed, rely on these facts at sentencing as if they had been charged in the indictment and found by a jury at trial beyond a reasonable doubt. Both defendant and the USAO specifically reserve their rights to make any and all other arguments regarding the effect of Blakely on the application and/or constitutional validity of the sentencing guidelines, including the argument that if Blakely applies to the

- i) Defendant agrees not to challenge his resignation, discharge, or dismissal from employment with the Federal Protective Service.
- 16. Defendant further agrees to cooperate fully with the USAO, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Department of Homeland Security Office of Inspector General, and, as directed by the USAO, any other federal, state, local, or foreign law enforcement agency. This cooperation requires defendant to:
- a) Respond truthfully and completely to all questions that may be put to defendant, whether in interviews, before a grand jury, or at any trial or other court proceeding.
- b) Attend all meetings, grand jury sessions, trials or other proceedings at which defendant's presence is requested by the USAO or compelled by subpoena or court order.
- c) Produce voluntarily all documents, records, or other tangible evidence relating to matters about which the USAO, or its designee, inquires.
- d) Act, if requested by the USAO to do so by the USAO, in an undercover capacity to the best of defendant's ability in connection with criminal investigations by federal, state, or local law enforcement authorities, in accordance with the instructions of those law enforcement authorities. Defendant agrees not to act undercover, tape record any conversations, or

gather any evidence unless expressly instructed or authorized to do so by federal, state, or local law enforcement authorities.

#### THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

17. If defendant complies fully with all defendant's obligations under this agreement, the USAO agrees:

17 |

- a) To abide by all sentencing stipulations contained in this agreement.
- b) At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offense up to and including the time of sentencing, to recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable sentencing guideline offense level, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and to recommend and, if necessary, move for an additional one-level reduction if available under that section.
- the above-captioned case or any other prosecution that may be brought against defendant by the USAO, or in connection with any sentencing proceeding in any case that may be brought against defendant by the USAO, any statements made by defendant or documents, records, or tangible evidence provided by defendant pursuant to this agreement or the letter agreements previously entered into by the parties dated September 3, 2003, August 19, 2004 (signed August 24, 2004), and August 26, 2004, ("the Letter Agreements"). Defendant agrees, however, that the USAO may use such statements, documents, records, and tangible evidence: (1) to obtain and pursue leads to other evidence, which evidence may

be used for any purpose, including any prosecution of defendant, (2) to cross-examine defendant should defendant testify, or to rebut any evidence, argument or representations made by defendant or a witness called by defendant in any trial, sentencing hearing, or other court proceeding, and (3) in any prosecution of defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, or perjury.

- d) Not to use any information provided by defendant pursuant to this agreement or the Letter Agreements against defendant at sentencing for the purpose of determining the applicable guideline range, including the appropriateness of an upward departure, and to recommend to the Court that such information not be used in determining the point in the Sentencing Guidelines range at which defendant should be sentenced. Defendant understands, however, that information provided by defendant pursuant to this agreement or the Letter Agreements will be disclosed to the probation office and the Court, and that the Court may use this information for the purposes set forth in U.S.S.G § 1B1.8(b).
- e) In connection with defendant's sentencing, to bring to the Court's attention the nature and extent of defendant's cooperation.
- f) If the USAO determines, in its exclusive judgment, that defendant has both complied with his obligations under paragraphs 15 and 16 above and provided substantial assistance to law enforcement in the prosecution or investigation of another

1 |

17 l

("substantial assistance"), to move the Court pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 to impose a sentence below the sentencing range otherwise dictated by the sentencing guidelines.

# DEFENDANT'S UNDERSTANDINGS REGARDING SUBSTANTIAL ASSISTANCE

- Defendant understands the following:
- Any knowingly false or misleading statement by a) defendant will subject defendant to prosecution for false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury and will constitute a breach by defendant of this agreement.
- Nothing in this agreement requires the USAO or any other prosecuting or law enforcement agency to accept any cooperation or assistance that defendant may offer, or to use it in any particular way.
- Defendant cannot withdraw defendant's guilty plea if the USAO does not make a motion pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1  $16\,\|$  for a reduced sentence or if the USAO makes such a motion and the Court does not grant it.
  - At this time the USAO makes no agreement or d) representation as to whether any cooperation that defendant has provided or intends to provide constitutes substantial assistance. The decision whether defendant has provided substantial assistance rests solely within the discretion of the USAO.
  - The USAO's determination of whether defendant has provided substantial assistance will not depend in any way on / / /

27

26

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 |

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

whether the government prevails at any trial or court hearing in which defendant testifies.

#### BREACH OF AGREEMENT

- If defendant, at any time between the execution of this agreement and the completion of defendant's cooperation pursuant to the agreement or defendant's sentencing on a non-custodial sentence or surrender for service on a custodial sentence, whichever is later, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the USAO may declare this agreement breached. For example, if the defendant knowingly in an interview, before a grand jury, or at trial, falsely accuses another person of criminal conduct or 12 | falsely minimizes his own role, or the role of another, in criminal conduct, he will have breached this agreement. If the USAO declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, defendant will not be able to withdraw defendant's guilty plea, and the USAO will be relieved of all of 17 its obligations under this agreement. In particular: 18
  - The USAO will no longer be bound by any agreements concerning sentencing and will be free to seek any sentence up to the statutory maximum for the crime to which defendant has pleaded guilty.
  - The USAO will no longer be bound by any agreements regarding criminal prosecution, and will be free to prosecute defendant for any crime, including charges that the USAO would / / /

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13 l

16

19

21

22

23

otherwise have been obligated not to prosecute pursuant to this agreement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

10 ll

11

12

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- c) The USAO will be free to prosecute defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury based on any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant.
- The USAO will no longer be bound by any agreement d) regarding the use of statements, documents, records, tangible evidence, or information provided by defendant, and will be free to use any of those in any way in any investigation, prosecution, or civil or administrative action. Defendant will not be able to assert either (1) that those statements, documents, records, tangible evidence, or information were obtained in violation of the Fifth Amendment privilege against compelled selfincrimination, or (2) any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, or any other federal rule, that statements, documents, records, tangible evidence, or information provided by defendant before or after the signing of this agreement, or any leads derived therefrom, should be inadmissible.
- 20. Following a knowing and willful breach of this agreement by defendant, should the USAO elect to pursue any charge or any civil or administrative action that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then:
- a) Defendant agrees that any applicable statute of limitations is tolled between the date of defendant's signing of

this agreement and the commencement of any such prosecution or action.

b) Defendant gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of preindictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such prosecution or action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing of this agreement.

## LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL AND COLLATERAL ATTACK

- imposed by the Court, including any order of restitution, and the manner in which the sentence is determined, provided that the sentence is within the statutory maximum specified above and is constitutional. Defendant also gives up any right to bring a post-conviction collateral attack on the conviction or sentence, including any order of restitution, except a post-conviction collateral attack on a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, a claim of newly discovered evidence, or a explicitly retroactive change in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines, sentencing statutes, or statutes of conviction.
- 22. The USAO gives up its right to appeal the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations, provided that (a) the Court does not depart downward in offense level or criminal history category (except by a downward departure in offense level pursuant to, and to the extent requested by, the USAO in a motion under U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1) and (b) the Court determines that the total offense level is 18 or above prior to any departure under

12 |

13 |

U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 and imposes a sentence within the range corresponding to the determined total offense level (after any downward departure under U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 and criminal history category).

### RESULT OF VACATUR, REVERSAL OR SET-ASIDE

Defendant agrees that if any sentencing factor is vacated, reversed, or set aside, the USAO may: (a) ask the Court to resentence defendant on any remaining base offense level and sentencing factors, with both the USAO and defendant being released from any stipulations regarding sentencing contained in this agreement, (b) ask the Court to void the entire plea agreement and vacate defendant's guilty plea on any remaining 12 | count of conviction, with both the USAO and defendant being released from all of their obligations under this agreement, or (c) leave defendant's sentence and plea agreement intact. Defendant agrees that the choice among these three options rests in the exclusive discretion of the USAO.

#### COURT NOT A PARTY

The Court is not a party to this agreement and need not accept any of the USAO's sentencing recommendations or the parties' stipulations. Even if the Court ignores any sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions different from any stipulation, and/or imposes any sentence up to the maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, withdraw defendant's guilty plea, and defendant will remain bound to fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

one - not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court - can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be within the statutory maximum.

### NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

25. Except as set forth herein, there are no promises, understandings or agreements between the USAO and defendant or defendant's counsel. This agreement supersedes and replaces the Letter Agreements. Nor may any additional agreement, understanding or condition be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court.

## PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING

26. The parties agree and stipulate that this Agreement will be considered part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the entire Agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding.

#### FACTUAL BASIS

27. Defendant and the USAO agree and stipulate to the statement of facts provided below. This statement of facts includes facts sufficient to support a plea of guilty to the charges described in this agreement and to establish the sentencing guideline factors set forth in paragraph 11 below. It is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to defendant that relate to that conduct. Defendant admits the following facts:

15 ||

- c. On February 15, 2003, defendant was employed by FPS as a patrol officer and was on duty. Defendant was the passenger of a marked FPS patrol car and FPS patrol officer P.T. was the driver. Defendant and P.T. were both wearing FPS uniforms.
- d. The San Francisco Federal Building was located at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California ("the Federal Building").
- e. Jeffrey Petri was a registered owner of a Mercedes-Benz automobile ("Petri's car").
- f. In the early morning hours of February 15, 2003, defendant observed Petri's car run a red light two or more blocks away from the Federal Building. Defendant did not see Petri's car when it was adjacent to the Federal Building, and did not see the car as it drove past federal property. Defendant did not observe Petri commit any violation of federal law.
- g. Shortly after defendant observed Petri's car run the red light, Officer P.T. initiated a traffic stop. Petri's vehicle did not stop, and a high-speed pursuit ensued. Defendant knew that a high-speed pursuit was not authorized pursuant to FPS policy solely to enforce a traffic violation and that the chase violated FPS policy.

- h. During the chase, defendant inquired of Officer P.T. why they were chasing Petri's car. Officer P.T. did not respond.
- i. When Petri's car came to a stop near the intersection of Green Street and Larkin Street in San Francisco, officer P.T. used his FPS patrol car's bumper to pin Petri's car against a parked car. Other police officers from the San Francisco Police Department arrived at the scene shortly thereafter.
- j. Defendant got out of his vehicle and pointed his service firearm at Petri. Officer P.T. and defendant ordered Petri to get out of Petri's car. Petri did not comply, and instead attempted to free Petri's car from its position. As Petri slowly dislodged Petri's car, officer P.T. was standing near the front left side of Petri's car. Defendant observed officer P.T. fire approximately four shots near the left-front wheel well of Petri's car. At the time officer P.T. fired, defendant did not perceive himself, officer P.T., or anyone else to be in imminent danger of bodily injury. It appeared to defendant that officer P.T. fired to prevent Petri's car from escaping.
- k. Defendant and officer P.T. caused Petri to be taken into custody. Defendant stood nearby as officer P.T. completed paperwork charging Petri charged with various crimes. Defendant knew that one of the crimes Petri was being charged with at the scene was attempted murder of officer P.T. for allegedly trying

12 |

17 II

to run over officer P.T. with Petri's car, in violation of 664/187 of the California Penal Code. Defendant believed at the time that the facts of the incident did not support an attempted murder charge against Petri.

- 1. Shortly after the shooting, but before FPS investigators or supervisors arrived at the scene, defendant asked officer P.T. how they were going to explain the incident. Officer P.T. then told defendant that they would explain the incident as having begun at the federal property rather than several blocks away. Officer P.T.'s story was not true, but defendant went along with officer P.T.'s story when FPS Special Agent C.J. arrived to investigate the incident. Defendant agreed with officer P.T.'s story when officer P.T. falsely told Special Agent C.J. that he saw Petri's car drive slowly past the Federal Building immediately before defendant began pursuing Petri.
  - m. When Special Agent C.J. asked defendant at the scene about the pursuit and shooting, defendant concealed that the pursuit began solely due to a traffic violation and that the incident occurred two or more blocks from the federal property. Defendant also concealed the fact that officer P.T. did not appear to be in danger at the time he fired at Petri's vehicle.
  - n. Defendant concealed the truth about how and where the incident began because he knew that he and officer P.T. needed a connection to federal property in order to have FPS jurisdiction.

/ / /

- Defendant knew that the statements made by officer P.T., and his own statements and omissions, were used to complete a criminal complaint and affidavit, and to charge Petri with a 3 | federal crime, that is a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 111(b). Defendant believed that Petri did not intend to assault or kill officer P.T.
- On February 15 and 16, 2003, defendant was  $8 \parallel$  interviewed by FPS officer C.C. concerning the incident. Defendant knew this interview was for the purpose of completing  $10 \parallel$  the FPS arrest report of Petri. During this interview, defendant did not tell the truth about the incident, specifically that the pursuit began solely due to a traffic violation and that the incident occurred two or more blocks from the federal property. 13 | Defendant concealed the fact that officer P.T. did not appear to 14 | be in danger at the time he fired at Petri's vehicle.
  - q. On or about February 18, 2003, defendant and FPS officer P.T. were present and remained silent at Petri's court appearance at which a United States Magistrate Judge ordered Petri to be detained. Defendant knew that his own false statements and/or omissions concerning where the incident began and officer P.T.'s justification for firing his weapon were before the Magistrate Judge during this hearing. Defendant also remained silent and failed to tell anyone that, from his perspective, officer P.T. was not in danger at the time shots were fired.

20

/ / /

27

28

1

2

5

6

7

11 |

12 |

15 l

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- with officer P.T., Special Agent C.J., and others, attended a meeting with Assistant United States Attorney S.K. Defendant stood silent while officer P.T. told S.K. that on or about February 15, 2003 he had seen Petri's car drive slowly past the Federal Building immediately prior to defendant's pursuit of Petri's car, and that he fired shots in self-defense, while in fear for his life. Defendant believed P.T.'s statements were false. Defendant said nothing that contradicted officer P.T. Defendant also concealed the fact that, from his perspective, officer P.T. was not in danger at the time shots were fired.
- confronted defendant with certain evidence about the incident. This evidence included a video recording made February 15, 2003 by a Federal Building security camera. Defendant then admitted to FPS Special Agent C.J. that the incident began two blocks away from the federal building and that the attempted traffic stop began solely due to Petri's car running a red light. Defendant told Special Agent C.J. that officer P.T. was lying about the incident. Defendant specifically told Special Agent C.J. that the account given to Assistant U.S. Attorney S.K. concerning how and where the incident began, was false. Defendant also told Special Agent C.J. that he had gone along with officer P.T.'s untrue story because of "peer pressure."
- t. In April 2003, defendant voluntarily appeared before an FPS shooting review board and gave an account of the

26 ll

.13

incident. In this account, defendant stated falsely that the incident began at federal property due to suspicious behavior by Petri. Defendant concealed the fact that the incident began due solely to a traffic violation approximately two or more blocks away from federal property. During a second interview with the FPS shooting review board, defendant admitted that the incident began due solely to a traffic violation approximately two or more blocks away from federal property. During both interviews with the shooting review board, defendant concealed from the FPS shooting review board the fact that, from his perspective, officer P.T. was not in danger at the time P.T. fired his weapon at Petri's car. / / / 

/ / /

agreement. Finally, I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this matter. 10/20/2004 JOHN/HAIRE Defendant I am JOHN HAIRE's attorney. I have carefully discussed every part of this agreement with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of his/her rights, of possible defenses, of the Sentencing Guidelines' provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To my knowledge, my client's decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one. 10 - 20 - 0 ¥ Counsel for Defendant JOHN HAIRE 

This agreement is effective upon signature by defendant, an Assistant United States Attorney, and an attorney of the Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division. AGREED AND ACCEPTED UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEBRA W. YANG United States Attorney DAVID P. VAUGHN Assistant United States Attorney UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION R. ALEXANDER ACOSTA Assistant Attorney General Trial Attorney

I have read this agreement and carefully discussed every part of it with my attorney. I understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. My attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible defenses, of the Sentencing Guideline provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No promises or inducements have been made to me other than those contained in this agreement. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this

25 / / /

26 / / /

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 II